IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 11310 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

UCO BANK EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION

Versus

UCO BANK, THROUGH CHAIRMAN/ MANAGING DIRECTOR

Appearance:

MR AJ SHASTRI for Petitioner

MS AVANI S MEHTA for Respondents

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 19/06/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties. This petition is wholly misconceived at the instance of UCO Bank employees Association. The members of the petitioner-association may be concerned with the safety

and security in transit of the money of the bank from one branch to another branch, but equally the respondent-bank is concerned in the matter. The difficulties of the nature as stated in the Special Civil Application in transit of the money of the bank from one branch to another is to be sorted out by the respondent-bank and not by this Court. The petitioner being an asociation of the employees has to first approach to the officers in hierarchy in the bank itself.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that though the grievance has been made in the matter to the officer in hierarchy in the bank, nobody is taking any cognizance of the same.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent very fairly submitted that if in case these grievances are made, then certainly the same are to be taken care of and if really the problems, as pointed out, are there, the same are to be sorted out as it is also in the interest of the bank itself. She further states that it is open to the petitioner to make their grievance as made in the Special Civil Application to the Managing Director of the Bank and the same will be considered. In view of this statement made otherwise also, nothing now survives in this Special Civil Application.

4. In the result, this Special Civil Application fails and the same is dismissed. However, for the grievance made in this Special Civil Application, the petitioner is free to file a representation to the Managing Director of the Bank and in case such a representation is filed, it is expected of the Managing Director of the bank to take cognizance of the same forthwith and expeditiously, decide the same as it is stated to be the problems and the difficulties for insecurity and safety of money of the bank in transit thereof from one branch to another branch. Subject to these directions, and observations, this petition is dismissed. Rule is discharged. Interim relief, if any, granted earlier stands vacated. No order as to costs.

(S.K.Keshote, J.)
(kamlesh)